1 South Carolina Department of Labor, Licensing and Regulation Before the South Carolina Board of Pharmacy 3 4 April 27, 2011 5 6 This meeting is being held in accordance with Section 30-4-80 of the South Carolina Freedom of Information Act by notice mailed to the State Newspaper, Associated Press, WIS-TV and all other requesting persons, organizations or news media. In addition, notice was posted on the bulletin boards at the two main entrances of the Kingstree Building, Columbia, South Carolina. 10 11 Board Members: J. R. "Bobby" Bradham, Chairman 12 Bushardt, Vice Chairman 13 Dock Henry Rose Robert C. "Rob" Hubbard Robert C. "I David Banks Hugh Mobley Addison Livingston 15 Dr. Leo Richardson 16 Lee Ann Bundrick, Administrator

HEARING REPORTED BY KATHRYN J. LINDLER

Christa Bell, General Counsel

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Kathryn J. Lindler (803) 206-0920

Rebecca Long, replacing Mr. Banks on the Board. Marilyn

1

3

to order. This meeting is being held in accordance with Section 30-4-80 of the South Carolina Freedom of Information Act by notice mailed to The State Newspaper, Associated Press, WIS-TV and all other requesting persons, organizations, or news media. In addition, notice was posted on the bulletin boards at the two main entrances of the LLR Kingstree Building. The Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag was said followed by invocation by Mr. Bushardt. The Board Members and Attendees to the meeting introduced themselves. ATTENDANCE: Leo Richardson, Member-at-large and layman of the Board, from Columbia. Rob Hubbard, Third Congressional District, Clemson, his first official meeting. Dock Rose, from Fourth Congressional District, representing Greenville, Spartanburg, Union and Cherokee counties, from Greer, South Carolina. Dan Bushardt from Lake City and representing the Sixth Congressional District. Bobby Bradham, Chairman, First Congressional District which is coast of South Carolina. Hugh Mobley, Fifth Congressional District, from Lancaster, South Carolina. Addison Livingston, representing Second Congressional District from Swansea. David Banks. Pharmacist-at-large, from Simpsonville, South Carolina. Kathryn J. Lindler (803) 206-0920 2

MR. BRADHAM: The April 27, 2011, Board of

Pharmacy started off with Mr. Bradham calling the meeting

1

6

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

10

11

12

13

Crouch, Board of Pharmacy staff. Joe Newton, Board of Pharmacy inspector. Christa Bell, Division of Legal Services, LLR. Lee Ann Bundrick, Administrator, Board of Pharmacy. Cle Sanders, Board of Pharmacy staff inspector. Ernie Shuler, Board of Pharmacy inspector. Beverly Gould, Board of Pharmacy staff. Rosemary Boguski, Board of Pharmacy inspector. Christy Pettit, Target. Larry Grant, Board of Pharmacy inspector. Robert Spires, Society of Health Systems Pharmacist. Carole Russell, MUSC. Pam Cain, Palmetto Health Richland. Amy Butz, SCANA Pharmacy. Alexi Fairbanks, SCPHH. Arthur Finns, CVS Pharmacy. Thomas Phillips, CVS Pharmacy. Tiffany Byers, student. Eric Ridings, Fred's Pharmacy.

Mr. Bradham welcomed everyone to the one meeting that hasn't always been on the Board's agenda, but due to such large agendas back in the fall we established an extra meeting to handle hopefully burgeoning agendas that we were having. So this April 27 meeting is not one of our normal regular meetings. We appreciate everyone being here during this time. There are no chairman remarks except for sharing with the Board a thank you note to the Board of Pharmacy from Ms. Sharon Dantzler.

Approval of the March 23, 2011 meeting minutes. Corrections: Page 99, culture report should be

Kathryn J. Lindler (803) 206-0920 24

2.5

accumulative report. Dock Rose had corrections, but they were previously corrected. Addison Livingston: Page 97, Line 13, Rose Holliday should be Ambrose Holliday. Dock Rose moved to accept minutes. Seconded by David Banks. There was no further discussion. Motion carried unanimously.

APPROVAL OF RECIPROCITY CANDIDATES: Mr.

Banks makes motion to accept the candidates. Mr.

Livingston and Mr. Bushardt second the motion. There was
no discussion. Motion carries unanimously.

ADMINISTRATOR'S REPORT: Good morning,
Chairman and Members of the Board. I'm pleased to announce
that Mr. Robert C. Hubbard, III, of Clemson, South
Carolina, has been appointed to the Board by Governor Nikki
Haley representing the Third Congressional District. His
term expires on June 30, 2016. We welcome Mr. Hubbard and
look forward to working with him.

On March 30, 2011, the answering of the Board of Pharmacy main telephone number was switched back to the program via Call Center Groups. Therefore, Board of Pharmacy staff will be actually answering the main line.

MR. BRADHAM: I talked to Lee Ann yesterday and I started calling the 4700 number now and it's answered much more quickly and there were four voices that said yes, that's all we do all day long is answer the telephone, but

Kathryn J. Lindler (803) 206-0920

I know it's a burdensome task, but it's the best customer service I've seen in years.

2.2

2.3

MS. BUNDRICK: Thank you. In early April Mr. Charlie Ido was officially given the title of Assistant Deputy Director for the Office of Board Services.

As of this report we have approximately
3,037 active state-certified pharmacy technicians and 6,743
active registered pharmacy technicians. We have 6,958
active licensed pharmacists and 3,953 permitted facilities
and three electronic prescribing routing companies.
91 percent of the pharmacists renewed their licenses on
line

Renewal notices with passwords and pin numbers for on-line renewals were mailed to all registered and state certified pharmacy technicians at their last known address in mid April.

Renewal notices with passwords and pin numbers for on-line renewals were mailed to all in-state facilities in mid April. Paper renewals were mailed to all out-of-state facilities in mid April.

The pharmacist inspectors conducted 90 inspections since the last Board meeting. 46 were pharmacy permit inspections, 27 were non-dispensing drug outlet permit inspections, 5 were EMS permit inspections and 12 were medical gas/DME permit inspections. Of the 90

Kathryn J. Lindler (803) 206-0920

inspections 12 were new permits. No citations have been issued since the last Board meeting.

We had 5 reciprocity interviews conducted since the last Board meeting and 4 of the 5 interviews were done by Board staff.

1.0

The Board of Pharmacy has continued to serve as a site for pharmacy students on clinical rotations from the South Carolina College of Pharmacy USC campus. During the month of April Ms. Tiffany Byers is on rotation at the Board office.

On behalf of the Board I submitted a recognition resolution to NABP for Mr. Joseph Hodge and Ms. Thomas C. Lynch, Jr., on March 28, 2011, to be read at the NABP 107th annual meeting in San Antonio, Texas.

My staff and I have participated in the following meetings since the March meeting. We had a telephone IRC meeting with Mr. Turner on March 31.

Ms. Marilyn Crouch and I attended a procurement meeting on March 31. I met with Mr. Charlie Ido, Assistant Deputy Director, on March 31. I attended an administrators meeting with Charlie Ido, Assistant Deputy Director, on April 4. Ms. Sally Green and I attended a seminar for the P4 students at the South Carolina Association on April 7.

I updated the students graduating in May on how to become licensed in South Carolina as a pharmacist. This was very

Kathryn J. Lindler (803) 206-0920

beneficial. Ms. Sally Green and $\ensuremath{\text{I}}$ were both able to answer a lot of questions.

Mr. Hugh Mobley and I attended a meeting regarding S644 Remote Medication Order Entry on April 8, 2011, with all interested parties at the request of Ms. Martha Castro. The Pharmacy Practice Committee met on April 12. Mr. Henry Rose, Mr. Hugh Mobley, Mr. Bobby Bradham, Mr. Addison Livingston attended as Board members. Mr. Rob Hubbard attended. Mr. Ernie Shuler, Mr. Larry Grant, Mr. Joe Newton, Ms. Rosemary Boguski, and Ms. Cle Sanders and I also attended.

Mr. Bobby Bradham and I met April 12 to perform a routine review of statutes and regulations regarding the practice of pharmacy as requested by Mr. Sheridon Spoon, Assistant General Counsel, of the LLR Office of General Counsel, which this is due on May 1.

Mr. Bobby Bradham and I met again on April 14 to continue reviewing the statutes and regulations.

Ms. Cle Sanders attended the Senate Medical
Affairs Full Committee with Ms. Rebecca Long and Dr. Leo
Richardson regarding their appointments on April 20 and
they received favorable reports.

Staff has attended agency, board staff, compliance and other legal counsel meetings.

 $\operatorname{Mr.}$ Wilbur Harling, $\operatorname{Ms.}$ Cle Sanders and I are in

Kathryn J. Lindler (803) 206-0920 the process of completing the new questions assignment for the MPJE state specific law exam on specific competencies requested and/or any changes to the law. These questions are due to be submitted to NABP on April 29.

The update on the vacant pharmacist inspector FTE position. I received an e-mail notification from Lynn Rivers, Human Resources Administrator, on Monday, April 25 that the request to fill the pharmacist vacancy has been returned from the agency director unapproved.

NABP is seeking volunteers for the 2011-2012 committees and task forces. All submissions must be sent to NABP by Friday, May 27. If you are interested, please let me know and we can discuss in more detail what needs to be done

Handouts for your review that are under the administrators tab that may be of interest to you: There is a letter to Mr. Bradham from the South Carolina Society of Health System Pharmacists regarding the submission of names of members for consideration to participate on Board of Pharmacy committees. There's another letter to Mr. Bradham from the South Carolina Society of Health System Pharmacists acknowledging their support of the use of the revised sterile compounding inspection report until the results of the task force on USP 797 and 795 are finalized, and a copy of the letter sent to Ms. Brandi

Johnson regarding the Board's approval for her to be PIC at both Aiken Compounding Pharmacy and Family Pharmacy-South Aiken.

1

3

4

5

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

8

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

I would like to thank the Board for their continued support of me and staff and office. We always appreciate the encouragement and support you give us. And I would respectfully answer any questions you might have

Mr. Mobley complimented the staff on the good job, because there has been a lot of transition in four months and a lot to pull in. You all did a good job balancing it out. I know there's more work to do, but it's admirable what was done to kind of take things back under wing and do a good job. I know it's been hard. But I say hats off to you and your staff. The attitude and atmosphere within the staff is a lot more positive.

Ms. Bundrick extended her compliments to her staff also on their excellent job.

Mr. Rose stated I would like to say that we really got a fast turnaround on the licensure bill. Three days at the most. Very commendable considering the stress you are under during the time.

Ms. Bundrick remarked one thing most people probably don't realize is every credential for Pharmacy Board and Long Term Health Care Board are in the middle of

Kathryn J. Lindler (803) 206-0920

9

renewing right now. Most boards aren't like that. We just happened to fall into that situation.

3

5

6

1.0

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

REPORT FROM OFFICE OF INVESTIGATION AND ENFORCEMENT:
Reported by Ms. Bundrick:

Handout was presented to the Board. We are in the process of reorganizing how that is going to affect the Board of Pharmacy with other entities within the agency. There's a case status report and the cases received in 2010, we received a total of 150. In 2010 we closed out 164. We have 86 active investigations as of now.

Mr. Mobley wanted to know where the investigations are taking place, is it under the Board Services or is it still a separate area. Ms. Bundrick informed him part of it is. The practice issues and med error cases are investigated by Mr. Trotter and Mr. Durant in my area. All drug diversion cases for pharmacists and pharmacy technicians are investigated through the drug diversion unit in the Office of Investigations and Enforcement.

Mr. Mobley think that's an issue we probably need to discuss.

Dr. Richardson asked Ms. Bundrick what kind of impact, now that the FTE position was not approved, will that have. Ms. Bundrick responded that's one less inspector I have to help do inspections within the state.

Kathryn J. Lindler (803) 206-0920

10

That's mainly affecting the upper part of the state. I just found out Monday we weren't going to be able to fill the position. So I will have to get with my inspectors and have to reorganize which areas within the state the inspectors are going to be inspecting and change that.

Dr. Richardson asked if the 86 active investigations, we're going to be behind. Ms. Bundrick answered that doesn't necessarily affect the investigations. That's the actual inspections that we are required to do by law of the facilities. We have to inspect any new facility before we issue a permit and some states don't do that. Mr. Mobley asked if the Board had part time inspectors and if so is it possible to expand some of the part time to cover. Ms. Bundrick answered we only have Mr. Trotter and Mr. Durant as part-time employees. Joe Newton is full time. Ms. Bundrick replied at this point in time it's my understanding there is a hiring freeze and I know when Ms. Templeton came in and she looked at reorganizing everything, any temporaries were let go and I had to justify why we needed our temps, by statute it was required that we have those. Mr. Mobley commented if those two part-time inspectors were working 20 hours a week, could we get another 8-10 hours a week out of them to try to help. Ms. Bundrick said yes it's possible, but one part-time employee has a full time job also. Mr.

> Kathryn J. Lindler (803) 206-0920

Livingston wanted to know are the two part-timers doing any inspections or are they mostly investigations. Ms. Bundrick replied mostly investigations. Mr. Mobley said that's a talent that we are blessed to have with those two individuals based on their background and that's why I was saving if there was a way to kind of get a couple extra hours out of them and let them do some night ones. Bundrick said they have been doing some extra hours in this transition time trying to help me out getting the compliance and everything straightened out since it's come back to the Board. Mr. Livingston asked how we are running as far as inspecting based on statute. Ms. Bundrick replied I can't answer that right now. Mr. Bradham said every facility has to be inspected every two years I believe, and in reply Ms. Bundrick said in her 13 years with the Board that has never been met. Mr. Mobley said one thing that you may bring up as a

need for that, and I realize the economy is out of our control to a certain degree, is the fact that a lot of the third-party payers specifically now that more of them are moving under the government umbrella are requiring you sending copies of your most recent inspection and a lot of times they're asking for those within a window of your permitting period. So if you're permitted annually, you could or we could be asked to provide an inspection report

Kathryn J. Lindler (803) 206-0920

12

1 2

2.0

2.2

2.3

2.5

that was annually and if you didn't, then you would be out of their guidance. Do you see what I'm saying, you got a Federal funding program that's saying one thing and then you got the state that's not. In order for the state to comply with federal guidelines, we may have to see if we can do that. But that's a lot more work especially with the permitted facilities that are out there, but you're balancing what's going on over here and what the statute says and all. I'm going to visit that part about the inspections and statute a little bit later if we can.

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} There were no other questions or comments \\ regarding Office of Investigation and Enforcement. \\ \end{tabular}$

REPORT: OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL:

 $$\operatorname{Ms.}$ Bundrick defers report at this point in time. Mr. Pat Hanks is on military duty.

REPORT: OFFICE OF INFORMATION SERVICES:

Ms. Bundrick: Board meeting report was handed out to Board. This gives you by category the number of licensed credentials that were issued, reinstated, or renewed online since March 23.

Mr. Rose questioned the 1/1/11 date instead of 1/1/10 closed cases or is that starting date, the OGC thing, case load statistics, is that when it started on 1/10/2010.

Ms. Bundrick said what we give you information wise is how many cases we closed out last year and we have 27 open

Kathryn J. Lindler (803) 206-0920

cases, 16 pending actions, three pending consent
agreements or MOAs and eight pending hearings.

(No further discussion of General Counsel Report.)

FINANCE REPORT: By Ms. Bundrick.

Finance Report includes balance sheet for
February 2011 and March to compare and the detailed
explanation by code of all the transactions. Mr.
Livingston said in the second half I guess you would say
of the information where it has codes and then it has,
starting at the back, you see numbers and then like
payroll and they have our individual names. Is that a
code that would correspond with something in the first
part or is the amounts just left off? Can we make any
sense out of that at all? Ms. Bundrick replied it is
printed like this because it wouldn't fit on one page and
this is the second part. Mr. Livingston wondered if these
are ending balances that are here. Ms. Bundrick said yes,
one of two pages. First one is the February balance and
then March 2011 balance.

(No further discussion on Finance Report.)

21 COMPLIANCE AND INSPECTORS REPORT:

VOLUNTARY SURRENDER: By Ms. Bundrick.

Case number 2010-52 and 2011-3 are voluntary surrenders for your information. These you will see later as a consent order, memorandum of agreement or a Board

Kathryn J. Lindler (803) 206-0920

hearing. This is just for your information.

(No vote is necessary.)

AGREEMENT TO RELINQUISH:

Case No. 2011-11: By Ms. Bundrick.

This is a case that a pharmacy technician has agreed to relinquish their registration to practice as a pharmacy technician for evermore.

Motion by Mr. Mobley to approve. Second by Mr. Banks and Mr. Bushardt. No discussion. Motion carries.

CONSENT ORDER:

Case No. 2011-5: By Ms. Bundrick.

This is an entity that's a non-resident permit with us and this is an entity that got in trouble in the State of Florida, compounding pharmacy, and the Respondents agree that they will be publicly reprimanded and their non-resident pharmacy permit will be placed on probation for 18 months from the effective date of this order and the Respondent's permit shall continue uninterrupted in a probationary status contingent upon strict compliance to the following terms and conditions which shall remain in effect for 18 months or until further order of the Board. The Respondent must submit proof of successful completion of Florida Board approved eight hour medication error continuing education to the Board or its designee within six months from the date the

Kathryn J. Lindler (803) 206-0920

Board's action on this agreement. Respondent must submit proof of successful completion of Florida Board approved 12 hours of laws and review continuing education course by the PDM to the Board or its designee within six months. The Respondent shall appear and report to the Board as requested. This pretty much mirrors the order that was in Florida.

Motion made by Mr. Banks to accept. Second by
Mr. Bushardt. No discussion. Motion carries unanimously.
Mr. Bradham entertained a motion to go into Executive
Session. Mr. Mobley so moved for legal advice. Second by
Mr. Rose. No discussion. Motion carries unanimously.

(Executive Session.)

While in Executive Session no motions were made nor were any offered. Motion was made by Mr. Banks to move out of Executive Session. Second by Mr. Bushardt. The motion carries unanimously to move out of Executive Session.

NEW BUSINESS:

Ms. Worley presented her case to the Board about accepting her intern hours she performed without having submitted the proper paperwork to be accepted by the Board of Pharmacy. Mr. Bradham offered advice on how she could achieve 500 hours before her 120 day deadline.

RECIPROCITY INTERVIEWS:

Placed on the agenda by Mr. Bradham. Practice Act Section 40-43-81 Section A7 it says reciprocity interviews shall be done by members of the Board of Pharmacy and this is one of the basis upon which the Board when we were working with the Attorney General's Office on a legal opinion regarding that function being removed from the Board was found to be illegal and so I would like to reiterate to everyone that Section 40-43-81.A7 as the new LLR director, Ms. Templeton, has informed me, all the functions that were part of our Practice Act that were removed from the Board functions are to be returned to the Board and I would like to reiterate that our options. reciprocity interviews options, shall be eight options rather than one option and that is come to Columbia to be done by staff member that all reciprocity candidates be given the interview to have the interview done with any one of the eight members of the Board of Pharmacy. Most of them do choose the Board member that represents the district in which they plan to reside, because a lot of times, I've done I think two within the last couple of weeks and have another one scheduled that I worked out yesterday, working on getting scheduled. So I think for the most part people are choosing that option, but I would like to direct the staff that be the option presented to anyone applying for reciprocity. Mr. Mobley suggested Mr.

1

2

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

Kathryn J. Lindler (803) 206-0920

17

Livingston and Rebecca Long to do the interviews for the ones that want to come to Columbia. He also suggested Ms. Long accompany other members to become familiar and comfortable with the process. Mr. Bradham, Mr. Mobley and Mr. Rose offered to accompany her. Ms. Crouch informed the Board of the candidates already lined up through a part of May on Wednesdays with Eddie Durant and what did he want her to do. Mr. Bradham informed her he would like to see if one of the two people that live in the Columbia area could be available to do those and if staff and Mr. Livingston and Ms. Long could work on accommodating those people at the times they've already set up.

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Ms. Bundrick brought up the point from past circumstances when no Board Member could interview a candidate and with the time limit of trying to turnaround reciprocity interviews would it be appropriate to leave it up to the Board Chairman if there is no member available and that person needs to be licensed as soon as possible for a staff to interview.

Mr. Bradham said that would be perfectly fine. Mr. Mobley suggested a Board member being on speaker phone along with a staff member being present with the candidate. Ms. Bundrick said she can do that if that's the way the Board directs her. Mr. Mobley said he was usually available. Mr. Bradham said we will try to be as

Kathryn J. Lindler (803) 206-0920

18

flexible as possible, but we would like that function to comply with the Practice Act.

There were reminisces from Mr. Rose and Mr. Bradham about how it was done in the past, candidates coming to a Board Meeting, two Board members volunteering to come to Columbia to do reciprocity interviews.

Mr. Bushardt thought it was a good idea, because the person that's coming into a certain district gets to meet the representative from the Board so if there is any issue or problems or anything, then they know who to contact and they have established a relationship already with them because of the interview. Mr. Bradham commented after 12 years of doing interviews the first question asked is where can I obtain my CE hours, because I have licenses in three or four other states, I need to know where when I move here I can go to CE programs in the local area. That was always one question that I as a person that resides in the First Congressional District knew the answer to. He called for a vote on this. It was so moved by Mr. Rose and second by Mr. Hubbard. Motion carries.

REVIEW OF POLICIES AND PROCEDURES: Mr. Bradham and Ms. Bundrick spent two separate days going through the regulations and statutes and found no problems there.

With regard to policies and procedures and the Practice Act, there is only one that they wish to delete and that's

Kathryn J. Lindler (803) 206-0920 item number 115. It actually conflicts with what's in the Medical Practice Act in that when a patient is in the emergency room it states that the provider writing the medication order for the patient in the emergency room cannot designate someone to administer that first dose. Knowing that there is a nurse there and they wish the patient to receive the first dose of the antibiotic, then that person, that nurse or LPN or whoever is assisting the prescriber, will be delegated to administer that first dose. It would be difficult to enforce this when the Medical Practice Act is against this. Our recommendation to you is to delete policy number 115.

Motion is made by Mr. Livingston to delete policy number 115. Second by Mr. Banks. There was no discussion. Motion carries unanimously.

There were four policies that we asked, for lack of a better term, to put in a holding pattern, because we have task force as well as legislative discussion taking place regarding these issues, the compounding task force and remote entry task force and that is policy 132, 133, 137 and 147. So I would like a motion that we put this in a holding pattern. Motion made by Mr. Mobley. Second by Mr. Livingston. There was no discussion. Motion carries. These four policies will then be put in a holding pattern until task force and legislative discussion is completed.

Kathryn J. Lindler (803) 206-0920

20

Policy number 136 and 139. 136 it has pharmacy technician who renew prior to June 1 will pay the fee of \$35 and it you should be \$15. So all we need to do to make that one correct is change \$35 to \$15. All the other wording will remain the same presently. Motion was made by Mr. Banks to make those changes. Second by Mr. Livingston. There was no discussion. Motion carries.

1

3

4

5

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

8

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

Same issue with Policy 139. The fee this year was \$70 and not \$80. We need to change just that column of the fee being \$70. Motion was made to change the dollar amount by Mr. Banks. Second by Mr. Bushardt.

There was no discussion. Motion carries. Ms. Bundrick commented that it would change the total column. Everyone understood that when they voted to make the changes.

Policy Number 119. It says Administrator or his or her designee shall be the point of contact for the Board referrals to Recovering Professional Program and the administrator or his or her designee shall be the Board contact with Recovering Professional Program. That was one of those things that was removed from the Board without approval by the Board. Mr. Bradham pointed out all I'm doing is just reinforcing that is the policy that the Board wishes to continue, because that was part of the issues with dealing with complaints and other issues or actions that were taken against someone's license. Mr.

Kathryn J. Lindler (803) 206-0920

21

Bradham says I don't think that requires any action.

2

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

2.5

Mr. Mobley brought up the concern about that spilling over into compliance and investigation to a certain degree. He read 40-43-60, chief drug inspector, staff inspectors duties, violation corrections or prosecution; duties of the board; adulterated or misbranded drugs; destruction at owner's expense, seal of drugs and devices under control of licensee when license suspended or revoked; complimentary drug samples; optometric supplies. There's Section A that says there must be an administrator of the Board who must be a licensed pharmacist in the State of South Carolina and who must be the chief drug inspector. When a vacancy occurs the position of administrator shall be filled in accordance with 40-1-50. Now number B says Board of Pharmacy shall have its own staff of inspectors who must be licensed pharmacists in South Carolina and shall conduct all pharmacy inspections and investigations and shall report to and be supervised by the administrator of the Board of Pharmacy. That statute and paragraph is pretty clear to me. I don't know if any of the rest of you are confused by it, but I would like to make a motion that we cite that particular part of the statute and work with the agency to accomplish the meaning of that statute by having that done within the auspices of the Board of Pharmacy. That's a motion.

> Kathryn J. Lindler (803) 206-0920

2.2

Second by Mr. Livingston.

Discussion:

Mr. Livingston asked Ms. Bundrick if she could give the Board an idea of how it plays out now specifically with investigations. Ms. Bundrick replied as I told you earlier in the meeting, investigations that have to do with pharmacy practice issues and medication errors Mr. Durant and Mr. Trotter are doing those investigations. Anybody that is arrested by DHEC or another entity for diversion of controlled substances or other legend drugs. that's handled through the drug diversion unit within the agency in the Office of Investigations and Enforcement and my inspector investigators do not work in the Office of Investigations and Enforcement. Office of Investigations and Enforcement do not have any pharmacists that are employed in that department nor do any people report to Ms. Bundrick from that department. It was pointed out by Mr. Livingston that currently that part of the investigation is not being done per statute. Mr. Mobley said we just need to bring that to the attention of the Agency and ask for compliance. Mr. Bradham commented I've talked quite a few times recently with my counterpart on the Medical Board and they are handling their own investigations rather than through this investigation unit. And my initial meeting with the new LLR director

> Kathryn J. Lindler (803) 206-0920

2.3

indicated that all these functions are being returned to the Board. I'll be meeting with her again soon. So if the Board is going to take emphasis to this I ask that whatever motion you all come up with be forwarded to her ASAP so that I can add this to my agenda in that discussion, follow through with my initial meeting with her.

After the discussion Mr. Mobley asked Mr. Bradham to call for a vote. Mr. Bradham called for the question.

Vote was taken and motion carries.

Mr. Bradham said if that portion of today's proceedings could be conveyed in the communication to the LLR director so that we can have this on our agenda when I meet with her.

Mr. Rose had questions on policy and procedures.

Number 138 immunization on page 57 that it didn't have a date on when it was passed. Mr. Bradham said we retained this portion because it would've referred us to any other immunizations, because the agreement that we made with the task force or the Medical Board and Nursing Board and Pharmacy Board pertains strictly to flu vaccines and because pharmacists do have the authority to administer other vaccines pursuant to the prescription order, we felt it appropriate to continue with policy and procedure number 138 to cover those immunizations other than flu

vaccines.

1

3

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

Mr. Rose said my only question was do we need to approve it today. I don't see why we shouldn't. Ms. Bundrick said I think that was just left off the bottom of it, but you all can approve it today.

Mr. Rose makes a motion to approve Policy and
Procedure Number 138. Second by Mr. Banks. No further
discussion. Motion carries.

Mr. Mobley wanted to look at 140 which is on page 59. It talks about the following duties may be performed by registered technician after supervising pharmacist carefully considers the individual's abilities and/or qualifications. My only question is some of the things may, I think the verbiage may need to be tweaked a little bit, because it's like accepting written prescriptions only which -- does that lead us to believe that employees that work in pharmacies cannot act as the person who physically receives the prescriptions from a patient? I don't think it was intended that way. And then only a licensed pharmacist may override and I understand what that means, but in today's world we are employing a lot of technicians to do inputting and there are stop points which you get requests from your technician to go past a certain screen or whatever and I think all of us have done that. What does that mean? Do we want to change any of

> Kathryn J. Lindler (803) 206-0920

25

2.7

that? Do we want to review it? Revisit it in June after everybody has had a time to look at it?

3

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

Ms. Bundrick recommended that we refer it to the Pharmacy Technician Committee to look at it between now and June board meeting and discuss it at the June board meeting.

Mr. Mobley said I bring that up, because I think sometimes we interpret words literally and figuratively and I think we need to make sure that it says what it's supposed to say. Could we ask everybody to please look at that and make a comment as to practice or technician, whatever the chair wants to put.

Ms. Bundrick told Mr. Mobley that policy and procedure was originally approved by the Board in probably '99 when the Board first started registering technicians.

Mr. Mobley said you got a statute portion that kind of outlines differently, but thinks there may be some conflict in what the statute says and what policy and procedures say. He just thinks we need to go down the same road and make sure they say what they're supposed to say.

Mr. Bradham understands what he's saying, because, for example, if you have a clerk in the pharmacy that's ringing the cash register and a patient hands their prescription to the clerk, can the clerk not get like a

Kathryn J. Lindler (803) 206-0920

26

date of birth and their address and then hand it to a technician or pharmacist, because the way this sounds that clerk would have to say hold on let me find a technician. That's cumbersome. Mr. Mobley thinks we just need to make them consistent.

Ms. Sanders was with Mr. Harling in a meeting this week and suggested inviting him to that meeting because he got the question while they were together about a technician taking a verbal order or transfer for a controlled drug and when he went to his laws, it said pharmacist.

 $$\operatorname{Mr.}$ Bradham told Mr. Rose this will be referred to the Practice Committee and if he could see that ${\operatorname{Mr.}}$ Harling is invited.

Mr. Livingston had question about Policy Number 66.

Practitioner is responsible for the integrity of the prescription. Had just one question about the third section. Electronic signatures are only permissible on prescriptions sent directly from a practitioner to a pharmacy via electronic transfer and cannot be modified in any way. Actually I'm thinking of this in light of the prescription cannot be modified, but this just says signature. Nevermind. The order can be modified if you take a call from a physician but the signature can't.

Mr. Bradham said they may call and say Benazepril, 10

Kathryn J. Lindler (803) 206-0920 milligrams and you see where the patient has been on the medicine for a year and taking 20 milligrams so you call and say are you reducing the dose, no, I did that in error.

Ms. Bundrick said in the original we had a lot of problems with physicians or practitioners printing off the electronic prescriptions and handing that to the patient and they get to the pharmacy and don't have an actual signature on them, because they can make copies of them, and that's still going on, and that's why that's in the policy.

Mr. Mobley said I wish we can find a way to create a better transition possibly with the Medical Board on that issue, because we're seeing that a lot, because the physician says I'm not sure if it's going through, here's this just in case and they're actually issuing two prescriptions and it's innocent. They don't mean any harm by it, but it is an issue. I think everybody is shaking their head.

 $\mbox{Mr.\ Livingston\ said\ electronic\ prescriptions\ seems\ to}$ be more confusing to me than a written prescription with messy handwriting.

Ms. Bundrick asked would you like for me to check with Mr. Duke, the administrator of the Medical Board, to see about discussing that issue with the Medical Board.

Kathryn J. Lindler (803) 206-0920

Mr. Mobley said it would be helpful because just like Addison was saying, we'll get a drop down box and they may put Benazepril 10 milligrams, 15 grams apply to the leg three times a day which they don't go together, but they're picking things off of a selective menu. It's actually worse than it was. I know it's transition, but I think if we work with them and they can communicate and we can communicate, then it will get better.

Ms. Bundrick suggest maybe doing some continuing education program, some program like that.

Mr. Mobley said the government is incentivizing people to do it electronically and disincentivizing those who aren't. I just think it's a big problem, it's a safety problem, because we are seeing things that don't make sense, could be errors and it's just hard. It's harder now than it was.

Mr. Newton said a lot of times they'll put two different sigs there that are complete opposite. I've seen that many many times. They'll write one three times a day and then down at the bottom they'll have this should be taken once a day.

Mr. Bradham asked if electronic control substance prescriptions like 3s, 4s and 5s my understanding has not passed the gamut of being legal in South Carolina. Ms. Sanders answered it's not finalized. They actually have a

> Kathryn J. Lindler (803) 206-0920

29

couple changes or very close to the final and couple of the routing companies are getting final approval. When that happens, those will be able to move forward.

Mr. Bradham said right now computer system where I work, it sends it, let's say it's Tylenol No. 3 or Restoril or whatever, and then down at the bottom it says this is not legal in your state. So we have to contact the physician, but I'm thinking if they gave the patient a copy of it, they could go to XYZ Pharmacy and have it filled and then go to ABC Pharmacy and have it filled.

There was no further questions or comments about policies and procedures.

REQUEST APPROVAL Of RECIPROCITY application from

Mr. Barnes explained he had two DWIs in the State of North Carolina and has no disciplinary complaints on his North Carolina license After discussion with Mr Bradham and Mr. Rose, a motion was made by Mr. Livingston to accept his reciprocity and was seconded by Mr. Mobley and

The motion carries.

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

Kathryn J. Lindler (803) 206-0920

3.0

Mr. Bradham called for a motion to go into Executive Session since the meeting was ahead of schedule. It was so moved by Mr. Rose and second by Mr. Mobley.

(Executive Session.)

Let the record show that while the Board was in Executive Session with legal counsel no motions were made nor were any offered.

Mr. Rose made a motion to move out of Executive Session and second by Mr. Mobley. Motion carries.

REPORT OF OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL: By Ms. Bundrick: It's the case report that was handed out and discussed. Report shows 27 open cases, 16 pending actions, three pending consent agreements or MOAs, eight pending hearings, closed 46 last year.

Discussion was Mr. Rose asked about the status of the case that is scheduled to take two days. Ms. Bundrick replied it will not happen any time soon, but eventually will.

REOUEST APPROVAL OF INTERN HOURS - Caroline Worley

A motion was made by Mr. Bushardt and seconded by Mr. Mobley that the Board deny the request of Ms. Worley. Motion carries. Mr. Bradham suggested to Ms. Worley that she meet with Board's legal counsel.

There being no further items for discussion, the Meeting adjourned for lunch.

Kathryn J. Lindler (803) 206-0920

(Lunch Break.)

Board of Pharmacy Afternoon Session was declared to he back in session by Mr Bradham

COMMITTEE REPORTS:

PHARMACY TECHNICIAN: Mr. Banks stated they have not had an opportunity to meet or had reason to meet and there is no report.

COMPOUNDING: This committee met Tuesday, April 11. They had a pretty large group of people at this meeting including five board members. They reviewed New Vision Pharmacy concept to use drugs from nursing homes and hospitals destined for destruction for a central depository to help free clinics send their prescriptions to them to be filled. In that light, the Committee asked Joe Scuro to send them some information on what laws they needed to approve or not to have to abide by and Mr. Scuro was to do that prior to this meeting today. Mr. Rose reported he hasn't seen anything vet, but Mr. Scuro is here at the meeting today. Committee had a motion from Mr. Spires to take all the information to the Board with a favorable response and it was seconded by Natasha Cole and then was carried unanimously. Mr. Rose asked Joe Scuro to attend April 27 Board meeting to discuss New Vision Pharmacy and receive Board's approval for New Vision Pharmacy. Mr. Rose commented we need to do something to

31

8

1

3

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

10

11 12

13 14 15

16 17

18 19

20 21

2.2 2.3

24

2.5

1 keep from wasting these drugs especially any pharmacist that serves extended care facilities, it is quite a waste 3 for all medication that they have to destroy, and have to 4 pay to have it destroyed. Another thing talked about in 5 the meeting REM draft that the FDA Food and Drug 6 Administration has out and under discussion right now. The FDA wants to require every medication that has any kind of possibility of drug reaction or drug interactions to have patient information packet to go out with the 10 prescription on every new prescription. Refills would not 11 require packet. Looks like FDA is going to do something 12 with it. We need to discuss it. Another thing included in REMs is each drug company has to keep or post 13 surveillance on all drugs that are on the market for any 15 drug reaction or interaction that might happen after the 16 original FDA approval of the drug and they're saying this 17 does not just include new drugs, but drugs already on the 18 market. If anyone has any comments, you can still comment 19 on draft legislation if you feel strongly about it one way 20 or the other. You need to go on FDA drug web site to make 21 your comments on the draft proposal that they have at this 22 time. It's going to be a federal requirement by FDA. 23 There was also talk about use of dispensing machines 24 in nursing home pharmacies. We're going to talk more 25 about this in the May 17 meeting at 2:00 o'clock for Kathryn J. Lindler

(803) 206-0920

3.3

Pharmacy Practice Committee. Mr. Rose would recommend any other committees at the time that might want to meet try to meet that same day so that they can have a good representation for that. We have at present 10 percent of people are going to be admitted for license renewal. I presume that the Board office can just go through this 10 percent on the computer and see that they have their 15 hours every year. In a way it will be a good thing, because there's no way I don't believe that you can -- all the data that's going to be sent in by the provider, so I don't think there's any way that an individual pharmacist could falsify anything on it. Sounds like a good idea. Another thing was Larry Grant had some guestions about claims that were denied because they were sent from mail order specialty pharmacies instead of PBM pharmacy. Another thing was Mr. Hubbard also asked about if you had antique medication bottles like old powders and stuff from early 20th century drug stores, something like that, a log number or anything like that, you would need to pour everything out before you donated it to the State Museum or whoever you're going to donate it to, Pharmacy Association. It was the consensus that everything probably needed to be emptied before you donated it. That's it. Thank you very much. Mr. Livingston reported on the 797/795 task force.

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

2.5

Kathryn J. Lindler (803) 206-0920

34

He commented that it's going to be a long process. The committee started going over the definitions of terms that are actually in 797 that are not in our Practice Act. Our first meeting consisted of just getting through and adding the new terms and their definitions. A handout was provided. This is not to be voted on at this time or not for final presentation, but something the committee will have to go back and revisit, but that's what the committee has accomplished so far. The committee will meet again in May and continue the same process. The committee had students to do some of the work on this and Mr. Livingston would like to formally thank them and Carole Russell for the excellent job of getting some of the stuff together and actually making some changes after the committee went through the process of the definitions.

1

2

3

4

8

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

LEGISLATIVE: Legislative Committee did not meet, but have attended agenda of one bill that was brought before the Senate Bill 745 by someone that is not even in the Chiropractor Professional Association asking to introduce a bill to give chiropractors prescriptive authority and the best Mr. Bradham can tell, the bill is not moving anywhere. There was updates on South Carolina Medical Association legislative issues. The only one that pertains particularly to pharmacists is a survey that Health Information Management Systems put out seeking

Kathryn J. Lindler (803) 206-0920

clinician pharmacist and clinician and other folks about equal prescribing. NUCLEAR PHARMACY. Mr Rose stated that they have not met and probably won't meet until the fall. PHARMACY TECHNOLOGY: Mr. Bushardt stated they had no agenda items and did not meet. RECOVERING PROFESSIONAL PROGRAM: Dr. Richardson stated they have not met since their last meeting. MEDICATION INTEGRITY COMMITTEE: Mr Bushardt stated they did not meet and still had no agenda items. FINANCE COMMITTEE: Mr. Livingston stated they did not meet and have no report. CONTINUING EDUCATION: Mr. Banks stated they had no meeting and no report. REQUEST APPROVAL OF PHARMACY AND NON-DISPENSING DRUG OUTLET PERMIT APPLICATIONS - NEW VISION PHARMACY Mr. Joseph Scuro presented the Board a handout

BOARD MEETING LIVE BROADCAST - GRANICUS Granicus is the system in which our Board meetings are broadcast live and the Board has a decision to make as to whether the Board wants to continue broadcasting ourself live or not to continue that. Mr. Banks stated in

outlining his proposed pharmacy New Vision Pharmacy. A

lengthy discussion was had between Board members and Mr.

Scuro. (See Board Hearing transcript.)

Kathryn J. Lindler (803) 206-0920

36

1 several of the Board meetings it was discussed having students come to the Board meetings and really encouraging 3 the colleges of pharmacy in South Carolina to almost make 4 it a requirement that every student attend a Board 5 meeting. But with the number of colleges of pharmacy now open in South Carolina, 200 to 300 young people coming through, you would need a very large place. Having visited PC for their accreditation he was told they do use the live broadcast to have students watch it. He knows 10 that colleges use it for their students and has heard that 11 a couple of schools, PC in particular, did utilize the video feed. He thinks it's a good thing, a good 12 13 educational tool. Ms. Bundrick explained since everything has come back to the Board you have to decide 15 if you want to utilize it. The Granicus system is being 16 reviewed by the Agency director to determine if the Board is going to continue to use it. It was initially sold 17 18 that it would take live feed to the internet and it would 19 also take the Board minutes. However, it does not take 20 the Board minutes. We still have to have them typed out 21 and that was one of the concerns. Mr. Livingston 22 commented that he has heard people do watch it. 23 Mr. Banks made a motion that the Board continue the 24 live video feed if available. Second by Mr. Rose. 25 There was further discussion. Mr. Banks commented if Kathryn J. Lindler (803) 206-0920

Pharmacy should strongly consider approaching the Agency about meeting off the grounds like we used to where we would go to larger auditoriums in Greenville or Charleston or wherever, the College of Pharmacy here in town, so that more individuals could come to the meetings than permitted by this little room. He continues, quite often this room is completely full with people standing in the hall or bring other chairs in. I think if they won't allow the 10 video feed, you have to make concessions for a larger 11 audience. Mr. Bradham wondered whether the other individual boards were having to pay for this out of their 12 13 funds, and Ms. Bundrick is not real positive how it's being paid for. Mr. Mobley wondered what other boards are choosing to do, and Ms. Bundrick is not sure. Mr. Bradham 15 16 asked if Ms. Bundrick had heard if any other boards are voting to continue and she replied Nursing Board has been 17 using it and Long Term Health Care uses it. But 18 19 Ms. Templeton was sending everything back to the boards 20 and she said the Board can determine whether to utilize 21 the system while Agency wide they review it. Ms. Bundrick 22 doesn't know anything about the cost of it. The vote was called and the motion carries 23 24

there's not going to be that availability, the Board of

DISCUSSION TOPICS: No discussion topics. Motion was made by Mr. Banks to adjourn the meeting. Mr. Richardson

> Kathryn J. Lindler (803) 206-0920

38

37

39

thanked Mr. Banks for his service on the Board for the last 10 years.

4

2

3

MEETING ADJOURNED

8 9 10

11 12 13

14 15

> 16 17 18

19 20

21 2.2 23

24 25

Kathryn J. Lindler (803) 206-0920

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

This is to certify that I, Kathryn J. Lindler, am a duly qualified court reporter; that I took the hearing in this matter; that I took notes, by Stenograph Machine, of the said hearing; that the said notes were reduced to

typewriting by me; and that the foregoing pages, inclusive, constitutes a full, true

and correct record of such hearing and oral proceedings. to the best of my skill and ability.

I do further certify that I am neither employed by nor related to any of the parties in this matter or their counsel; nor do I have an interest, financial or otherwise in the outcome of same.

In Witness Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and official seal this 12th day of May, 2011.

19 20

24

25

25

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21 Kathryn J. Lindler

22 Notary Public for the State of South Carolina 23 My Commission Expires June 4, 2018

Kathryn J. Lindler (803) 206-0920